क्रम सं.	राज्य/शहरजिला	
13.	हजारी बाग	हजारी बाग
14.	कटिहार	कटिहार
15.	सहरसा	सहरसा
16.	बिहारशरीफ	नालन्दा
17.	बोधगया	गया
18.	बकसर	भोजपुर
19.	दरभंगा	दरभंगा
20.	किशनगंज	किशनगंज
21.	मधुवनी	मधुबनी
22.	नवादा	नवादा
23.	पुरनिया	पुरनिया
24.	सीतामढ़ी	सीतामढ़ी
25.	शिवानी	शिवानी
26.	जहानाबाद	जहानाबाद
27.	शाहीगंज	साहिब गंज
28.	यंका	भागलपुर
29.	गढ़वा	पालमु
30.	मुंगेर	मुंगेर
31.	भागलपुर	भागलपुर
32.	छत्रा	हजारीबाग
33.	गौंडा	गौंडा
34.	गुजफ्फरपुर	मुजफ्फरपुर
35.	राजगीर	नालन्दा
36.	फारविसगंज	अररिया
37.	गया	गया
38.	लोहारडागा	लोहारडागा
39.	शिहोहर	सीतामढ़ी
40.	सुपोल	सहरसा

Unauthorised Construction/Alterations, and Subletting of Government Quarters

3632. DR. B.B. DUTTA: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether any investigations have been made by Government to check unauthorised construction/alterations and subletting of Government Quarters in Delhi;

(b) if so, the details thereof, locality-wise ;

(c) the action takea'proposed to be taken against the persons found guilty; and

(d) the steps taken by Government to stop such activities?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN AFFAIRS AND EMPLOYMENT AND MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (DR. U. VENKATESWARLU): (a) to (d) On receipt of a report of unauthorised construction/alterations, a show cause notice is issued to the concerned allottee for the removal of the said unauthorised construction/alterations within the stipulated period, and if the allottee fails to remove the unauthorised construction within the stipulated period, the allotment of accommodation is cancelled as per the provisions of the Allotment Rules and eviction proceedings initiated under the Public Premises (Eviction of Unauthorised Occupants) Act, 1971.

As regards subletting of General Pool Residential Accommodation, 4488 cases of suspected subletting were detected as a result of door to door survey carried out in pursuance of Supreme Court directions and show cause notices were served on these allottees. Thereafter, upto September, 1996, 1085 General Pool Residential Accommodation has been cancelled and 634 got vacated/evicted.

Investment by HUDCO on Infrastructure Sector

3633. SHRI RAMDAS AGARWAL: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Housing and Urban Development Corporation (HUDCO) IIH*-

earmarked Rs. 5,500 crores to be invested on infrastructure sector during the Ninth Five Year Plan period, as reported in the Financial Express, New Delhi, dated the 7th April, 1997;

(b) if so, what are the details of its mobilisation strategy, indicating the amount to be collected from domestic market during 1997-98 as compared to 1996-97 and the names of international lending agencies who are providing credit to HUDCO;

(c) whether any projects in Rajasthan and Orissa are to be undertaken during 1997-2005; if so, the likely amount proposed to be incurred, project-wise by HUDCO therein; and

(d) what are its targets for loan sanction/disbursement provisions during 1997-98?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN AFFAIRS AND EMPLOYMENT AND MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (DR. U. VENKATESWARLU): (a) In its Ninth Five Year Plan proposals, HUDCO has projected loan assistance of Rs. 5871 crores for urban infrastructure schemes.

(b) HUDCO mobilises resources from domestic as well as external sources for financing various housing and urban de velopment programmes. It was able to mobilise resources of Rs. 1876.77 crores from domestic sources and Rs. 60.10 crores from bi-lateral agencies during 1996-97. It process to make resources mobilisation of the order of Rs. 1450 crores during 1997-98 from both domestic and foreign agencies.

HUDCO is getting loans/grants from OECF of Japan and Kfw of Germany for housing and infrastructure projects. The World Bank has recently committed technical assistance for strengthening urban infrastructure wing of HUDCO.

(c) According to their priorities the State level agencies and local bodies formulate various urban infrastructure development schemes as per guidelines of HUDCO. While loan sanction targets for total operation of HUDCO are fixed in advance, no State-wise physical or financial targets are fixed for infrastructure schemes;

(d) As per its Memorandum of Under standing 1997-98 the loan sanction/dis bursement target for urban infrastructure schemes are as under:—

Loan sanction — Rs. 930 crores
Loan disbursement — Rs. 790 crores

Inclusion of cities in Maharashtra under PMIUPEP

3634. SHRI SUSHIL KUMAR SAMBHAJIRAO SHINDE: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Maharashtra Government has requested for inclusion of three more cities, namely Kulgaon Badlapur, Navghar Manikpur and Kamptee, having population between 50,000 and 1,00,000 in the list of 28 cities presently covered by the Prime Minister's Integrated Urban Poverty Eradication Programme (PMIUPEP); and

(b) if so, Government's decision thereon?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN AFFAIRS AND EMPLOYMENT AND MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (DR. U. VENKATESWARLU): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Navghar and Manikpur, forming part of the Vasai Urban Agglomeration (U.A.) with Population ranging between 50,000 to 1,00,000 as per the 1991 census, are already included under the Programme, Kamptee U.A. and Kulgaon-Badlapur U.A. do not have urban population between the prescribed limits as per the 1991 census and are thus not eligible for coverage under the Programme.

The State Government has been informed accordingly.